Honey Run Academy Secondary School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2011-12 School Year Published During 2012-13

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

I. Data and Access

EdData Partnership Web Site

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2012-13)

School Contact Info	School Contact Information			
School Name	Honey Run Academy Secondary			
Street	622 Pearson Rd			
City, State, Zip	Paradise, Ca 95969			
Phone Number	(530) 872-6461			
Principal	Dena Kapsalis			
E-mail Address	dkapsalis@pusdk12.org			
CDS Code	04-61531-0430108			

District Contact Inform	District Contact Information			
District Name	Paradise Unified School District			
Phone Number	(530) 872-6400			
Web Site	www.pusdk12.org			
Superintendent	Roger Bylund			
E-mail Address	jrobbins@pusdk12.org			

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Honey Run Academy Secondary is a community day school that serves students in grades 9th through 12th. The school was created by Paradise Unified School District in response to Assembly Bill 922. Students may enter the program as directed by their education expulsion order, their probation referral, a school attendance review board referral, or by administrative order. Everything we do must be for the expressed purpose of improving the relationship between the student and their learning, and transitioning students to their home schools. Therefore our mission is to provide an educational environment that reinforces responsibility, personal accountability, and respect for self and others. These goals are achieved through a careful selection of caring staff, innovative teaching strategies that underscore the relationship between cause and effect, and a step and level system that daily reinforces academic and behavioral success.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

n/a

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2011-12)

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 8	1
Grade 10	5
Grade 11	3
Total Enrollment	9

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2011-12)

Group	Percent of Group		Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0	White	77.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	Two or More Races	0
Asian	0	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	77.8
Filipino	0	English Learners	0
Hispanic or Latino	22.2	Students with Disabilities	22.2
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

		2009-10 2010-11				2011-12						
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	srooms	Avg.	Numb	Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	9	9										
Mathematics	9	9										
Science	9	9										
Social Science	9	9										

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

Last reviewed: March, 2012

Key elements: Communication strategies per building; staffing arranged to maximize supervision; evacuation procedures practiced

and reviewed

Suspensions and Expulsions

D . *		School		District		
Rate*	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Suspensions			87			19.59
Expulsions	0	0	1			.64

^{*} The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: 08/28/2012

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

System Inspected		Repair	Status		Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	None detected at the time of inspection. 50% of all systems on automated controls. Filters changed regularly. Maintenance is continuous.	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Wall surfaces and class rooms painted and repairs done continuously.	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Site is in good repair and maintained in a clean condition. None observed at this time.	
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Exit and Emergency lighting checked regularly and noted monthly.	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]	In good order, clean and well stocked. Drinking fountains receive regular maintenance and cleaned frequently.	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	None identified, chemical cleaners are stored out of reach of children. MSDS on site and updated regularly. Fire Extinguishers serviced yearly and checked monthly (as per Paradise Fire Dept).	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	I did not see any at the time of inspection	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Grounds are checked and recorded monthly. Some minor adjustments on all hardware being done by Maintenance Dept.	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	Honey Run Academy is in good condition. Maintained on a High Level. Classrooms are neat and clean.	

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2011-12
With Full Credential			3	228
Without Full Credential			0	9
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence			0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners			0
Total Teacher Misassignments			0
Vacant Teacher Positions			1

^{* &}quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2011-12)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Laurelian of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100	0			
All Schools in District	100	0			
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0			

^{*} High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2011-12)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	n/a	n/a
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	n/a	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	n/a	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	n/a	
Psychologist	n/a	
Social Worker	n/a	
Nurse	n/a	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	n/a	
Resource Specialist	n/a	
Other	n/a	

One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: September, 2012

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption		Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	The Language of Literature, McDougal Littell, 2000	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Algebra: Tools for a Changing World, Prentice Hall, 2001 Geometry: University of Chicago Math Project, Scott Foresman, 1998 CPM Geometry, Foresman/Wesley, 2005 Algebra II-Trigonometry, Glencoe, 1995	Yes	0%
Science	Hold Science Spectrum-A Physical Approach Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 2001 Holt Biology: Visualizing Life, Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1998 Biology, McDougal Littell, 2008 Modern Chemistry, Holt, Rinehart & Winston	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	World History: Connections to Today - Modern Era, Prentice Hall, 2003 US History: American-Pathways to the Present, Prentice Hall, 2002 Magruder's American Government, Prentice Hall, 2005 Economics, South Western, 1997	Yes	0%
Health	Glencoe, 1998	Yes	0%
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Annual review of availability and quality		0%

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	21,416	3,934	17,482	52,662
District			4,894	57,780
Percent Difference: School Site and District			257.2	-8.9
State			\$8,452	\$59,538
Percent Difference: School Site and State			106.8	-11.5

^{*} Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Although Honey Run Academy-Secondary will never be in PI status because it does not receive Title I Funds, the following programs to assist students are: ATOD (alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs) counseling, and ART (aggression replacement training).

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$33,041	\$38,725
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$48,593	\$59,717
Highest Teacher Salary	\$72,484	\$77,957
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$72,185	\$95,363
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$74,668	\$98,545
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$79,250	\$107,031
Superintendent Salary	\$152,971	\$149,398
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	35%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	6%

^{*} For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

^{**} Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for
 grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five
 and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
 achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science
 for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities
 prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Standardized resting and Reporting Results for An Students - Three-rear Companson									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject	School		District		State				
	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts	8	33	11	50	49	55	52	54	56
Mathematics			17	42	40	44	48	50	51
Science	8		18	53	57	67	54	57	60
History-Social Science		8	8	38	44	46	44	48	49

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced					
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science		
All Students in the LEA	55	44	67	46		
All Student at the School	11	17	18	8		
Male	13			9		
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	13	17		9		
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Cubina		School		District			State		
Subject	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
English-Language Arts				52	57	52	54	59	56
Mathematics				49	51	48	54	56	58

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	48	23	30	52	34	14
All Students at the School						
Male						
Female						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services						

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2011-12)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
7						
9						

^{*} Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2009	2010	2011
Statewide			
Similar Schools			

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

,	Actual API Change					
Group	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12			
All Students at the School						
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino						
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
English Learners						
Students with Disabilities						

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API at the school, district, and state level.

	2012 Growth API							
Group	Sch	ool	Dist	rict	Sta	State		
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API		
All Students at the School			2,922	773	4,664,264	788		
Black or African American			12	752	313,201	710		
American Indian or Alaska Native			23	705	31,606	742		
Asian			27	749	404,670	905		
Filipino			14	809	124,824	869		
Hispanic or Latino			337	739	2,425,230	740		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			3		26,563	775		
White			2,284	775	1,221,860	853		
Two or More Races			222	804	88,428	849		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			1,734	738	2,779,680	737		
English Learners			39	659	1,530,297	716		
Students with Disabilities			371	567	530,935	607		

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2011-12)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	Yes	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	Yes	No
Met API Criteria	N/A	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In Pl
First Year of Program Improvement		2011-2012
Year in Program Improvement		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		4
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		28.6

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Dropout Rate (1-year)	7.1	8.8	11.7	4.2	8.8	11.7	5.7	16.6	14.4
Graduation Rate			-	83.66	89.24	84.71	78.59	80.53	76.26

^{*} The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2011-12 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

C	Graduating Class of 2012			
Group	School	District	State	
All Students	n/a	314		
Black or African American	n/a	0.63%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	n/a	0.94%		
Asian	n/a	1.25%		
Filipino	n/a	0		
Hispanic or Latino	n/a	10.38%		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	n/a	0.31%		
White	n/a	81.13%		
Two or More Races	n/a	5.35%		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	n/a	47.13%		
English Learners	n/a	1.59%		
Students with Disabilities	n/a	14.33%		

^{* &}quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- · How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2011-12)

career realistical realistical for the parties (believe real real real real real real real rea				
Measure	CTE Program Participation			
Number of pupils participating in CTE	n/a			
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	n/a			
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	n/a			

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2011-12 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	
2010-11 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011–12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	
English	0	
Fine and Performing Arts	0	
Foreign Language	0	
Mathematics	0	
Science	0	
Social Science	0	
All courses	0	0

^{*} Where there are student course enrollments.

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Professional development opportunities were available through Paradise Unified School District, Butte County Office of Education and other providers. Content consisted of a variety of subject matter including English language arts, math, effective teaching strategies, addressing the needs of students with special needs, increasing student achievement, enriching the school environment and character education.